

grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten days to fourteen days).


Here, Petitioner has not filed objections. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews her legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law.>").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case, the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 6) as the findings of this Court. Therefore, it is

ORDERED that Petitioner's habeas petition is **DENIED**, and this cause of action is **DISMISSED** with prejudice. Petitioner is further **DENIED** a certificate of appealability *sua sponte*. Finally, it is

ORDERED that any and all motions pending in this civil action are hereby **DENIED** as moot.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **7th** day of **March, 2024**.



JEREMY D. KERNODLE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE